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Natural selection plays a fundamental role in most theories of speciation, but empirical evidence from the wild has been lacking. Here the post-Pleistocene radiation of threespine sticklebacks was used to infer natural selection in the origin of species. Populations of sticklebacks that evolved under different ecological conditions show strong reproductive isolation, whereas populations that evolved independently under similar ecological conditions lack isolation. Speciation has proceeded in this adaptive radiation in a repeatable fashion, ultimately as a consequence of adaptation to alternative environments.

In classic theories of speciation, reproductive isolation originates in part as the incidental by-product of adaptation to distinct environments (1, 2). Although laboratory experiments support this view (3), the role of natural selection and the environment in the origin of reproductive isolation remains contentious because evidence from nature is lacking (4–6). Tests of the role of natural selection in speciation have focused instead on the reinforcement of premating isolation (7, 8). Yet reinforcement requires preexisting reproductive isolation in the form of reduced hybrid fitness and generally is considered a final step in the speciation process (1, 9). Here we present evidence that natural selection plays a fundamental role in the early stages of speciation.

Parallel evolution of similar traits in populations that inhabit similar environments strongly implicates natural selection, as genetic drift is unlikely to produce concerted change, correlated with the environment, in multiple, independent lineages (10). Parallel speciation is a special form of parallel evolution in which traits that determine reproductive isolation evolve repeatedly in independent, closely related populations as a by-product of adaptation to different environments (6, 11). The outcomes are reproductive compatibility between populations that inhabit similar environments and reproductive isolation between populations that inhabit different environments. Because reproductive isolation is more strongly correlated with the environment than with geographic proximity or genetic distance, parallel speciation provides strong evidence for natural selection in the speciation process. Despite the significance of such evidence for our understanding of mechanisms of speciation in nature, there are no conclusive tests of parallel speciation (11). We tested parallel speciation with populations of sympatric threespine sticklebacks (Gasterosteus spp.).

Sympatric species of threespine sticklebacks inhabit small, low-elevation lakes in coastal British Columbia, Canada (12). These populations are recently derived from the marine threespine stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) that colonized freshwater after the retreat of the glaciers at the end of the Pleistocene. One species of each sympatric pair is a large-bodied Benthic that feeds on invertebrates in the littoral zone; the other species is a smaller, more slender Limnetic that feeds primarily on plankton in open water (12–14). The Benthic and Limnetic from a given lake constitute biological species: they are reproductively isolated by strong assortative mating (15, 16), ecologically based postmating isolation (17), and probably sexual selection against hybrid males (18). Phenotypic differences between sympatric species have a genetic basis and persist over multiple generations in a common laboratory environment (12, 19). Both comparative (13) and direct (20) experimental evidence indicate that divergent selection caused by competition for resources has contributed to the evolution of these phenotypic differences.

The genetic evidence indicates that the Benthic-Limnetic pairs from three lakes (Priest, Paxton, and Enos Lakes) are derived independently of one another. Unique assemblages of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) haplotypes characterize pairs from the different lakes, and a hierarchical clustering analysis of mtDNA divergence estimates fails to detect any case in which populations of the same phenotype from different lakes cluster together (21). Independence of these species pairs is confirmed by an analysis of six nuclear microsatellite loci (22). Thus, neither the Benthics nor the Limnetics from different lakes are monophyletic; hence we refer to the two phenotypes as ecomorphs.

Independence of pairs allowed two tests of the predictions of parallel speciation. First, populations of the same ecomorph from different lakes (for instance, Benthics from Priest, Paxton, and Enos Lakes) should not be reproductively isolated from one another despite the known reproductive isolation between different ecomorphs within lakes (15). Second, reproductive isolation should exist between ecomorphs from different lakes (for instance, between Benthics from Paxton Lake and Limnetics from Priest Lake). We tested reproductive isolation by conducting 753 mating trials in the laboratory with wild-caught Benthics and Limnetics from these three lakes (23); 261 of these trials involved individuals of different ecomorphs (Limnetics with Benthics), and 492 involved individuals of the same ecomorph (Limnetics with Limnetics, Benthics with Benthics). Mean probabilities of spawning for each ecomorph combination are shown in Fig. 1.

Spawning probabilities between pairs of populations depend strongly on ecomorph identity (Fig. 2) (24). Because pairwise comparisons between populations are not statistically independent, our analyses used conservative paired t tests that treated each of the six populations of females as a replicate and corrected for phylogeny (25). In each test, the pair of measurements for each female population was based on averages of the corrected spawning probabilities over all the relevant male populations. Reproductive isolation between ecomorphs within a lake was strong (paired t test, ts = 3.82, P = 0.012), confirming past results (15, 16).

In accord with the first prediction of parallel speciation, reproductive isolation was absent among lakes within an ecomorph (ts = 0.56, P = 0.599) (Fig. 2, comparison A). A female was just as likely to mate with a male of the same ecomorph from a different lake as with a male of the same ecomorph from her own lake (26). In agreement with the second prediction, reproductive isolation was present between ecomorphs from different lakes (ts = 2.61, P = 0.048) (Fig. 2, comparison B). A female from a given population spawned more frequently with males of her own ecomorph from a different lake than
with males of the other ecomorph from a different lake. The probability of spawning was slightly higher among ecomorphs from different lakes than among ecomorphs from the same lake and approached statistical significance ($\chi^2 = 2.36, P = 0.065$) (Fig. 2, comparison C). Correcting for phylogeny had a negligible effect on these statistical results (27), confirming that parallel speciation and not shared history is responsible for the observed mating patterns. For phylogeny to have a significant influence, populations of the same ecomorph must be more closely related to each other than to populations of different ecomorphs. Phylogenetic trees based on mtDNA and microsatellite DNA reject this hypothesis (28).

The parallel evolution of reproductive isolation in these stickleback species in nature provides some of the strongest evidence yet for a role of divergent natural selection in speciation. Two studies similar to ours suggest that reproductive isolation also may have evolved in parallel: populations of stream-resident sticklebacks from Japan and North America, and populations of herbivorous leaf beetles adapted to similar host plants (29). This suggests that parallel speciation may be widespread. Our results complement and strengthen another form of evidence in which key traits under divergent selection form the proximate basis of reproductive isolation (15, 30). The absence of premating isolation between independently derived stickleback populations of the same ecomorph suggests that such key traits can evolve repeatedly in similar environments, yielding parallel speciation. We have not identified the trait or traits that underlie parallel mate preferences in sticklebacks but body size is a strong candidate (15, 31).

Reproductive isolation between these sympatric species is not just a by-product of phenotypic divergence, but it also may have involved reinforcement in sympatry (8). This suggests a scenario in which premating isolation between ecomorphs arose initially as a simple by-product of divergent natural selection on key traits and was later reinforced in sympatry. Whether reinforcement occurred in parallel among lakes is not known. In addition, the reduced probability of spawning between Limnetics from different lakes (Fig. 1) (26) and the slight reduction in reproductive isolation between ecomorphs from different lakes (Fig. 2, comparison C) suggest that a small degree of independent evolution has occurred within lakes. It is not known whether this independent evolution is a product of reinforcement or a by-product of unique adaptations to each lake. Regardless, under a common selection regime speciation was repeatable. The contribution of both divergent natural selection and reinforcement to speciation may explain the high rates of phenotypic divergence that characterize adaptive radiations (5).
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The introduction and rapid spread of Drosophila subobscura in the New World two decades ago provide an opportunity to determine the predictability and rate of evolution of a geographic cline. In ancestral Old World populations, wing length increases clinally with latitude. In North American populations, no wing length cline was detected one decade after the introduction. After two decades, however, a cline has evolved and largely converged on the ancestral cline. The rate of morphological evolution on a continental scale is very fast, relative even to rates measured within local populations. Nevertheless, different wing sections dominate the New versus Old World clines. Thus, the evolution of geographic variation in wing length has been predictable, but the means by which the cline is achieved is contingent.

How fast can evolution occur in nature (1, 2)? Are evolutionary trajectories predictable or idiosyncratic (3, 4)? Answers to these two questions are fundamental to attempts to forecast evolutionary responses to natural or anthropogenic perturbations (5). Rates of evolution are usually estimated by monitoring phenotypic shifts within local populations over time (2, 6–8) and are rarely evaluated on a continental scale (9). The predictability of evolution is evaluated by determining whether replicate populations show convergent responses (4, 10).

Recently introduced species that quickly colonize large areas offer special opportunities to address both the speed and predictability of evolution on a geographic scale (11). Rapid and predictable evolution would be demonstrated if introduced populations quickly evolved clines that converge on clines among ancestral populations (12, 13). A candidate species is Drosophila subobscura. This fly is native to the Old World (12, 13), where it exhibits a clinal increase in body size with latitude (14–16). It was accidentally introduced into western North and South America about two decades ago (17) and spread rapidly in temperate regions (12, 13). No latitudinal cline in wing size was evident on either continent about one decade after the introduction (15, 16). Here we reexamine the North American populations to determine whether a cline has evolved after two decades and whether it has converged on the Old World cline.

We collected introduced flies from 11 localities in western North America (NA) (April and May 1997) and native flies from 10 localities in continental Europe (May 1998) (18). We established stocks for each (10 per sex from each of 15 to 25 isofemale lines) and maintained them (20°C, low density) for five to six generations in a common garden to ensure that any observed differences between populations would be genetic. We then set up four vials per population (50 eggs per vial) and reared flies to adulthood. Shortly after the flies eclosed, we mounted the left wing from flies selected haphazardly (~20 per sex per population) and measured wing length as the combined length of the basal and distal segments of vein IV (15).

Wing length of native European females increased significantly with latitude (Fig. 1A), as in previous studies (14–16). Wing length of introduced North American females also increased significantly with latitude (Fig. 1A) (19), and the slope of the regression was not significantly different from that of European females (comparison of slopes, P = 0.834). Wing length of males also increased significantly with latitude in both native and introduced populations (Fig. 1A), but the slope for North American males was less steep than that for European males (M = 0.001) or that for North American females (P = 0.001) (20).

The striking convergence of clinal variation in wing size (Fig. 1A) has been achieved through analogous, not homologous, changes in the relative lengths of different parts of the wing (Fig. 1B). The increase in wing length with latitude in Europe is caused by a relative lengthening of the basal portion of vein IV, whereas the increase in NA is caused by a relative lengthening of the distal portion of vein IV (21). These differences in slopes between continents are significant for both females (Fig. 1B, P < 0.001) and males (22) (P < 0.001).

How fast can evolution occur on a continental scale? Although no cline in wing length was evident in samples collected about one decade after the introduction in NA (15), a cline is conspicuous after two decades (Fig. 1A). Thus, this cline evolved in only one to two decades (23). The rate of size divergence on a continental scale for D. subobscura females is rapid (~1700 darwins, ~0.22 haldanes (2, 24)) and is faster than almost all previously measured rates in nature, even within local populations (2, 24). For morphological traits in natural populations, only rates of Galápagos finches during the 1978 drought are faster [0.37 to 0.71 haldanes (2, 6)]. Is evolution predictable or historically contingent (3, 4)? Convergent latitudinal clines in wing length of North American D. subobscura (especially of females) and ancestral European D. subobscura (Fig. 1A), as well as those of many other drosophilids (13, 25), demonstrate that the evolution of wing